

Shilov boundary for "holomorphic functions" on a quantum matrix ball

Olof Giselsson

(joint work with Olga Bershtein and Daniil Proskurin,
Lyudmyla Turowska)

Department of Mathematics
Chalmers University of Technology

June 22, 2017

Definition of Shilov Boundary

The classical notion of a Shilov boundary

- ▶ Was introduced by Georgii Shilov.
- ▶ It generalizes the maximum modulus principle:
- ▶ If $f(z) \in C(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ is an holomorphic function on the unit disc \mathbb{D} , then

$$\max_{z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)| = \max_{z \in \mathbb{T}} |f(z)|,$$

where \mathbb{T} is the unit circle.

Definition of Shilov Boundary

The classical notion of a Shilov boundary

- ▶ Was introduced by Georgii Shilov.
- ▶ It generalizes the maximum modulus principle:
- ▶ If $f(z) \in C(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ is an holomorphic function on the unit disc \mathbb{D} , then

$$\max_{z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)| = \max_{z \in \mathbb{T}} |f(z)|,$$

where \mathbb{T} is the unit circle.

Definition of Shilov Boundary

The classical notion of a Shilov boundary

- ▶ Was introduced by Georgii Shilov.
- ▶ It generalizes the maximum modulus principle:
- ▶ If $f(z) \in C(\bar{\mathbb{D}})$ is an holomorphic function on the unit disc \mathbb{D} , then

$$\max_{z \in \bar{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)| = \max_{z \in \mathbb{T}} |f(z)|,$$

where \mathbb{T} is the unit circle.

Definition of Shilov Boundary

The classical notion of a Shilov boundary

- ▶ Was introduced by Georgii Shilov.
- ▶ It generalizes the maximum modulus principle:
- ▶ If $f(z) \in C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ is an holomorphic function on the unit disc \mathbb{D} , then

$$\max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}} |f(z)| = \max_{z \in \mathbb{T}} |f(z)|,$$

where \mathbb{T} is the unit circle.

Definition of Shilov Boundary

If X is a compact space and let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C(X)$ be a uniform algebra.

Definition

A *boundary* of X relative to \mathcal{A} is a closed subset $B \subseteq X$, such that for every $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\max_{z \in X} |f(z)| = \max_{z \in B} |f(z)|.$$

Definition

A boundary S is called the *Shilov boundary* of X relative to \mathcal{A} if it is contained in any other boundary.

It can be shown that the Shilov boundary exists and that it is unique.

Definition of Shilov Boundary

If X is a compact space and let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C(X)$ be a uniform algebra.

Definition

A *boundary* of X relative to \mathcal{A} is a closed subset $B \subseteq X$, such that for every $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\max_{z \in X} |f(z)| = \max_{z \in B} |f(z)|.$$

Definition

A boundary S is called the *Shilov boundary* of X relative to \mathcal{A} if it is contained in any other boundary.

It can be shown that the Shilov boundary exists and that it is unique.



Definition of Shilov Boundary

If X is a compact space and let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C(X)$ be a uniform algebra.

Definition

A *boundary* of X relative to \mathcal{A} is a closed subset $B \subseteq X$, such that for every $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\max_{z \in X} |f(z)| = \max_{z \in B} |f(z)|.$$

Definition

A boundary S is called the *Shilov boundary* of X relative to \mathcal{A} if it is contained in any other boundary.

It can be shown that the Shilov boundary exists and that it is unique.

Definition of Shilov Boundary

If X is a compact space and let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C(X)$ be a uniform algebra.

Definition

A *boundary* of X relative to \mathcal{A} is a closed subset $B \subseteq X$, such that for every $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\max_{z \in X} |f(z)| = \max_{z \in B} |f(z)|.$$

Definition

A boundary S is called the *Shilov boundary* of X relative to \mathcal{A} if it is contained in any other boundary.

It can be shown that the Shilov boundary exists and that it is unique.



Examples of Shilov Boundary

Example

In $C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ let $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \subseteq C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ be the holomorphic functions. The Shilov boundary of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ relative to $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ is then \mathbb{T} .

Example

Let $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n$ be the closed unit ball in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then the sub-algebra $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n) \subseteq C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)$ of holomorphic functions has as its Shilov boundary U_n , the Lie group of unitary $n \times n$ matrices.

Examples of Shilov Boundary

Example

In $C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ let $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \subseteq C(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ be the holomorphic functions. The Shilov boundary of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ relative to $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$ is then \mathbb{T} .

Example

Let $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n$ be the closed unit ball in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then the sub-algebra $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n) \subseteq C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)$ of holomorphic functions has as its Shilov boundary U_n , the Lie group of unitary $n \times n$ matrices.

Definition of Shilov Boundary

A non-commutative version of the Shilov boundary was proposed by Arveson ['70, Acta Math.]:

$C(X) \rightsquigarrow$ unital C^* -algebras \mathcal{B} (noncom. topological spaces)

$K \subset X$, closed \rightsquigarrow closed ideals in \mathcal{B}

uniform algebra \rightsquigarrow subalgebra \mathcal{A} that generates the C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} , $1 \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition of Shilov Boundary

A non-commutative version of the Shilov boundary was proposed by Arveson ['70, Acta Math.]:

$C(X) \rightsquigarrow$ unital C^* -algebras \mathcal{B} (noncom. topological spaces)

$K \subset X$, closed \rightsquigarrow closed ideals in \mathcal{B}

uniform algebra \rightsquigarrow subalgebra \mathcal{A} that generates the C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} , $1 \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition of Shilov Boundary

A non-commutative version of the Shilov boundary was proposed by Arveson ['70, Acta Math.]:

$C(X) \rightsquigarrow$ unital C^* -algebras \mathcal{B} (noncom. topological spaces)

$K \subset X$, closed \rightsquigarrow closed ideals in \mathcal{B}

uniform algebra \rightsquigarrow subalgebra \mathcal{A} that generates the C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} , $1 \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition of Shilov Boundary

A non-commutative version of the Shilov boundary was proposed by Arveson ['70, Acta Math.]:

$C(X) \rightsquigarrow$ unital C^* -algebras \mathcal{B} (noncom. topological spaces)

$K \subset X$, closed \rightsquigarrow closed ideals in \mathcal{B}

uniform algebra \rightsquigarrow subalgebra \mathcal{A} that generates the C^* -algebra \mathcal{B} , $1 \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition of Shilov Boundary

Let D be a C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq D$ a unital subalgebra that generates D as a C^* -algebra.

Definition

An ideal J in D is called a boundary ideal of D relative \mathcal{A} if the canonical map $j : D \rightarrow D/J$ is a **complete** isometry when restricted to \mathcal{A} .

A boundary ideal is called a *Shilov boundary ideal* if it contains any other boundary ideal.

Shilov boundary ideal exists and unique (Arveson '70, Hamana '79).

Definition of Shilov Boundary

Let D be a C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq D$ a unital subalgebra that generates D as a C^* -algebra.

Definition

An ideal J in D is called a boundary ideal of D relative \mathcal{A} if the canonical map $j : D \rightarrow D/J$ is a **complete** isometry when restricted to \mathcal{A} .

A boundary ideal is called a *Shilov boundary ideal* if it contains any other boundary ideal.

Shilov boundary ideal exists and unique (Arveson '70, Hamana '79).

Definition of Shilov Boundary

Let D be a C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq D$ a unital subalgebra that generates D as a C^* -algebra.

Definition

An ideal J in D is called a boundary ideal of D relative \mathcal{A} if the canonical map $j : D \rightarrow D/J$ is a **complete** isometry when restricted to \mathcal{A} .

A boundary ideal is called a *Shilov boundary ideal* if it contains any other boundary ideal.

Shilov boundary ideal exists and unique (Arveson '70, Hamana '79).

The $*$ -algebra $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$

Let q be a constant $0 < q < 1$.

Definition

$\mathbb{C}[\text{Mat}_n]_q$ is the algebra over \mathbb{C} with generators $\{z_k^j \mid 1 \leq k, j \leq n\}$ subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} z_a^\alpha z_b^\beta - q z_b^\beta z_a^\alpha &= 0, & a = b \ \& \ \alpha < \beta, \text{ or } a < b \ \& \ \alpha = \beta, \\ z_a^\alpha z_b^\beta - z_b^\beta z_a^\alpha &= 0, & \alpha < \beta \ \& \ a > b, \\ z_a^\alpha z_b^\beta - z_b^\beta z_a^\alpha - (q - q^{-1}) z_a^\beta z_b^\alpha &= 0, & \alpha < \beta \ \& \ a < b. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{C}[\text{Mat}_n]_q$ can be seen as a q -analogue of the algebra of holomorphic polynomials on Mat_n .

The $*$ -algebra $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$

Let q be a constant $0 < q < 1$.

Definition

$\mathbb{C}[\text{Mat}_n]_q$ is the algebra over \mathbb{C} with generators $\{z_k^j \mid 1 \leq k, j \leq n\}$ subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} z_a^\alpha z_b^\beta - q z_b^\beta z_a^\alpha &= 0, & a = b \ \& \ \alpha < \beta, \text{ or } a < b \ \& \ \alpha = \beta, \\ z_a^\alpha z_b^\beta - z_b^\beta z_a^\alpha &= 0, & \alpha < \beta \ \& \ a > b, \\ z_a^\alpha z_b^\beta - z_b^\beta z_a^\alpha - (q - q^{-1}) z_a^\beta z_b^\alpha &= 0, & \alpha < \beta \ \& \ a < b. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{C}[\text{Mat}_n]_q$ can be seen as a q -analogue of the algebra of holomorphic polynomials on Mat_n .

The $*$ -algebra $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$

$\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ is a q -analog of the polynomial algebra on Mat_n . Its generators are $z_k^j, (z_k^j)^*$, $1 \leq k, j \leq n$, and the list of relations is the same as those of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Mat}_n]_q$ but also that

$$(z_b^\beta)^* z_a^\alpha = q^2 \cdot \sum_{a', b'=1}^n \sum_{\alpha', \beta'=1}^n R_{ba'}^{b'a'} R_{\beta\alpha}^{\beta'\alpha'} \cdot z_{a'}^{\alpha'} (z_{b'}^{\beta'})^* + (1 - q^2) \delta_{ab} \delta^{\alpha\beta},$$

with $\delta_{ab}, \delta^{\alpha\beta}$ being the Kronecker symbols, and coefficients R_{ij}^{kl} .

We have $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_1)_q \cong \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ the "quantum unit disc".

$\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ was introduced by Vaksman & Co-authors in the 90's.

The $*$ -algebra $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$

$\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ is a q -analog of the polynomial algebra on Mat_n . Its generators are $z_k^j, (z_k^j)^*$, $1 \leq k, j \leq n$, and the list of relations is the same as those of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Mat}_n]_q$ but also that

$$(z_b^\beta)^* z_a^\alpha = q^2 \cdot \sum_{a', b'=1}^n \sum_{\alpha', \beta'=1}^n R_{ba}^{b'a'} R_{\beta\alpha}^{\beta'\alpha'} \cdot z_{a'}^{\alpha'} (z_{b'}^{\beta'})^* + (1 - q^2) \delta_{ab} \delta^{\alpha\beta},$$

with $\delta_{ab}, \delta^{\alpha\beta}$ being the Kronecker symbols, and coefficients R_{ij}^{kl} .

We have $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_1)_q \cong \text{Pol}(\mathbb{C})_q$ the "quantum unit disc".

$\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ was introduced by Vaksman & Co-authors in the 90's.

The algebra $\mathbb{C}[GL_n]_q$

The q -determinant is given by the formula for $\mathbf{z} = (z_i^j)$

$$\det_q \mathbf{z} = \sum_{s \in S_n} (-q)^{l(s)} z_1^{s(1)} z_2^{s(2)} \dots z_n^{s(n)}$$

with $l(s) = \text{card}\{(i, j) \mid i < j \ \& \ s(i) > s(j)\}$.

It is known that $\det_q \mathbf{z}$ is in the center of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Mat}_n]_q$.

Definition

Let $\mathbb{C}[GL_n]_q$ be localization of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Mat}_n]_q$ w.r.t the multiplicative system $(\det_q \mathbf{z})^{\mathbb{N}}$.

The algebra $\mathbb{C}[GL_n]_q$ is a q -analogue of functions on the Lie group of invertible $n \times n$ matrices.

The algebra $\mathbb{C}[GL_n]_q$

The q -determinant is given by the formula for $\mathbf{z} = (z_i^j)$

$$\det_q \mathbf{z} = \sum_{s \in S_n} (-q)^{l(s)} z_1^{s(1)} z_2^{s(2)} \dots z_n^{s(n)}$$

with $l(s) = \text{card}\{(i, j) \mid i < j \ \& \ s(i) > s(j)\}$.

It is known that $\det_q \mathbf{z}$ is in the center of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Mat}_n]_q$.

Definition

Let $\mathbb{C}[GL_n]_q$ be localization of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Mat}_n]_q$ w.r.t the multiplicative system $(\det_q \mathbf{z})^{\mathbb{N}}$.

The algebra $\mathbb{C}[GL_n]_q$ is a q -analogue of functions on the Lie group of invertible $n \times n$ matrices.

The algebra $\mathbb{C}[GL_n]_q$

The q -determinant is given by the formula for $\mathbf{z} = (z_i^j)$

$$\det_q \mathbf{z} = \sum_{s \in S_n} (-q)^{l(s)} z_1^{s(1)} z_2^{s(2)} \dots z_n^{s(n)}$$

with $l(s) = \text{card}\{(i, j) \mid i < j \ \& \ s(i) > s(j)\}$.

It is known that $\det_q \mathbf{z}$ is in the center of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Mat}_n]_q$.

Definition

Let $\mathbb{C}[GL_n]_q$ be localization of $\mathbb{C}[\text{Mat}_n]_q$ w.r.t the multiplicative system $(\det_q \mathbf{z})^{\mathbb{N}}$.

The algebra $\mathbb{C}[GL_n]_q$ is a q -analogue of functions on the Lie group of invertible $n \times n$ matrices.

$\mathbb{C}[U_n]_q$ and $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$

Recall that $\mathbb{C}[U_n]_q$ is $\mathbb{C}[GL_n]_q$ with the involution $*$ given by

$$(z_k^j)^* = (-q)^{k+j-2n} (\det_q \mathbf{z})^{-1} \det_q \mathbf{z}_k^j$$

Where \mathbf{z}_k^j is \mathbf{z} with the k 'th row and j 'th column deleted.

$\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$ is the algebra $\mathbb{C}[U_n]_q / \langle (q^{-n(n-1)/2} - \det_q \mathbf{z}) \rangle$.

Letting the generators of $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$ be $t_{kj} := q^{n-k} z_k^j$ then this is a quantum group with co-product Δ , co-unit ϵ and antipode S

$$\Delta(t_{kj}) = \sum_m t_{km} \otimes t_{mj} \quad \epsilon(t_{kj}) = \delta_{kj} \quad S(t_{kj}) = t_{jk}^*$$

$\mathbb{C}[U_n]_q$ and $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$

Recall that $\mathbb{C}[U_n]_q$ is $\mathbb{C}[GL_n]_q$ with the involution $*$ given by

$$(z_k^j)^* = (-q)^{k+j-2n} (\det_q \mathbf{z})^{-1} \det_q \mathbf{z}_k^j$$

Where \mathbf{z}_k^j is \mathbf{z} with the k 'th row and j 'th column deleted.

$\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$ is the algebra $\mathbb{C}[U_n]_q / \langle (q^{-n(n-1)/2} - \det_q \mathbf{z}) \rangle$.

Letting the generators of $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$ be $t_{kj} := q^{n-k} z_k^j$ then this is a quantum group with co-product Δ , co-unit ϵ and antipode S

$$\Delta(t_{kj}) = \sum_m t_{km} \otimes t_{mj} \quad \epsilon(t_{kj}) = \delta_{kj} \quad S(t_{kj}) = t_{jk}^*$$

The co-action of $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$ on $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$

Then there is a co-action of $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$ on $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ given by a $*$ -homomorphism

$$\mathcal{D} : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$$

$$\mathcal{D}(z_k^j) = \sum_{a,b=1}^n z_a^b \otimes t_{ak} \otimes t_{bj}.$$

In the case $q = 1$, this co-action comes from the two different actions of SU_n on Mat_n

$$(A, X) \mapsto AX \quad (A, X) \mapsto XA^t.$$

for $X \in \text{Mat}_n$ and $A \in SU_n$.

The Fock representation $\pi_{F,n}$ of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$

Vaksman et al proved the following:

Theorem

There exists a unique faithful bounded irreducible $$ -representation $\pi_{F,n} : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow B(H_{F,n})$ defined by the property that there exists a vector $v_0 \in H_{F,n}$ s.t*

$$\pi_{F,n}(z_k^j)^* v_0 = 0$$

for $1 \leq k, j \leq n$.

The vector v_0 is called a vacuum vector.

The Fock representation $\pi_{F,n}$ of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$

Vaksman et al proved the following:

Theorem

*There exists a unique faithful bounded irreducible *-representation $\pi_{F,n} : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow B(H_{F,n})$ defined by the property that there exists a vector $v_0 \in H_{F,n}$ s.t*

$$\pi_{F,n}(z_k^j)^* v_0 = 0$$

for $1 \leq k, j \leq n$.

The vector v_0 is called a *vacuum vector*.

Definition of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ again

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_a^\alpha z_b^\beta - q z_b^\beta z_a^\alpha &= 0, & a = b \ \& \ \alpha < \beta, \text{ or } a < b \ \& \ \alpha = \beta, \\
 z_a^\alpha z_b^\beta - z_b^\beta z_a^\alpha &= 0, & \alpha < \beta \ \& \ a > b, \\
 z_a^\alpha z_b^\beta - z_b^\beta z_a^\alpha - (q - q^{-1}) z_a^\beta z_b^\alpha &= 0, & \alpha < \beta \ \& \ a < b.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(z_b^\beta)^* z_a^\alpha = q^2 \cdot \sum_{a', b'=1}^n \sum_{\alpha', \beta'=1}^n R_{ba}^{b' a'} R_{\beta\alpha}^{\beta' \alpha'} \cdot z_{a'}^{\alpha'} (z_{b'}^{\beta'})^* + (1 - q^2) \delta_{ab} \delta^{\alpha\beta},$$

with δ_{ab} , $\delta^{\alpha\beta}$ being the Kronecker symbols, and coefficients R_{ij}^{kl} .

The $*$ -algebra $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$

For $m \leq n$ there exists a $*$ -homomorphism

$$\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_m)_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$$

$$z_k^j \mapsto z_{k+n-m}^{j+n-m}$$

and especially

$$\rho : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_{2n})_q$$

$$z_k^j \mapsto z_{k+n}^{j+n}$$

The $*$ -algebra $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$

There is a $*$ -homomorphism

$$\psi : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\text{SU}_n]_q$$

$$z_k^j \mapsto (-q)^{k-n} t_{kj}.$$

Hence there is a $*$ -homomorphism

$$\psi \circ \rho : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\text{SU}_{2n}]_q$$

$$z_k^j \mapsto (-q)^{k-n} t_{(k+n),(j+n)}$$

The idea is to construct a $*$ -representation Π of $\mathbb{C}[\text{SU}_{2n}]_q$ s.t

$$\Pi \circ \psi \circ \rho \cong \pi_{F,n}.$$

*-representations of $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$

We present quickly parts of the representation theory of $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$ due to Soibelman.

If $\pi : \mathbb{C}[SU_2]_q \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+))$ is the *-representation given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(t_{11}) &= S^* C_q, & \pi(t_{12}) &= -q D_q, \\ \pi(t_{21}) &= D_q, & \pi(t_{22}) &= C_q S\end{aligned}$$

where, in the standard orthonormal basis $\{e_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$, we have

$$S e_m = e_{m+1}, \quad C_q e_m = \sqrt{1 - q^{2m}} e_m, \quad D_q e_m = q^m e_m.$$

Consider the *-homomorphisms $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[SU_2]_q$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_i(t_{ii}) &= t_{11}, & \phi_i(t_{i+1i+1}) &= t_{22}, \\ \phi_i(t_{ii+1}) &= t_{12}, & \phi_i(t_{i+1i}) &= t_{21}\end{aligned}$$

and $\phi_i(t_{kj}) = \delta_{k,j}$ otherwise.

*-representations of $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$

We present quickly parts of the representation theory of $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$ due to Soibelman.

If $\pi : \mathbb{C}[SU_2]_q \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+))$ is the *-representation given by

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(t_{11}) &= S^* C_q, & \pi(t_{12}) &= -q D_q, \\ \pi(t_{21}) &= D_q, & \pi(t_{22}) &= C_q S\end{aligned}$$

where, in the standard orthonormal basis $\{e_m\}_{m=0}^\infty$, we have

$$S e_m = e_{m+1}, \quad C_q e_m = \sqrt{1 - q^{2m}} e_m, \quad D_q e_m = q^m e_m.$$

Consider the *-homomorphisms $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[SU_2]_q$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_i(t_{ij}) &= t_{11}, & \phi_i(t_{i+1i+1}) &= t_{22}, \\ \phi_i(t_{ij+1}) &= t_{12}, & \phi_i(t_{i+1i}) &= t_{21}\end{aligned}$$

and $\phi_i(t_{kj}) = \delta_{k,j}$ otherwise.

*-representations of $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$

Let $\pi_i : \mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+))$ be the composition $\pi \circ \phi_i$. Let s_i denote the adjacent transposition $(i, i + 1)$ in the symmetric group S_n .

Definition

For an element $s \in S_n$ consider a minimal decomposition of $s = s_{j_1} s_{j_2} \dots s_{j_m}$ into a product of adjacent transposition and let π_s be the *-representation of $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$ given by

$$\pi_{j_1} \otimes \pi_{j_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_{j_m}.$$

Up to isomorphism, π_s is independent of the specific minimal decomposition. Up to action of torus, all irreducible representation of $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$ arises this way (Soibelman).

Construction of Fock representation

In S_{2n} let

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & n & n+1 & n+2 & \dots & 2n \\ n+1 & n+2 & \dots & 2n & 1 & 2 & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem

$\pi_s \circ \psi \circ \rho$ is isomorphic to the Fock representation $\pi_{F,n}$ and
 $\overline{\pi_{F,n}(\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q)} \subseteq C^*(S)^{\otimes n^2}$.

Combining this with the faithfulness of the Fock representation gives

Corollary

$\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ is isomorphic to the $*$ -sub-algebra of $\mathbb{C}[SU(2n)]_q$ generated by $\{t_{(k+n)(j+n)}\}$ with $1 \leq k, j \leq n$.



Lifting representations of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$

Theorem (G.)

For every $$ -representation π of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ there is a $*$ -representation Π of $\mathbb{C}[SU_{2n}]_q$ s.t*

$$\Pi \circ \psi \circ \rho \cong \pi.$$

Generalizes

$$T \in \text{Mat}_n \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} T^* & \sqrt{I - T^*T} \\ -\sqrt{I - TT^*} & T \end{bmatrix} \in SU_{2n}.$$

Lifting representations of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$

Theorem (G.)

For every $$ -representation π of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ there is a $*$ -representation Π of $\mathbb{C}[SU_{2n}]_q$ s.t*

$$\Pi \circ \psi \circ \rho \cong \pi.$$

Generalizes

$$T \in \text{Mat}_n \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} T^* & \sqrt{I - T^*T} \\ -\sqrt{I - TT^*} & T \end{bmatrix} \in SU_{2n}.$$

Lifting representations of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$

Theorem (G.)

For every $*$ -representation π of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ there is a $*$ -representation Π of $\mathbb{C}[SU_{2n}]_q$ s.t

$$\Pi \circ \psi \circ \rho \cong \pi.$$

Generalizes

$$T \in \text{Mat}_n \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} T^* & \sqrt{I - T^*T} \\ -\sqrt{I - TT^*} & T \end{bmatrix} \in SU_{2n}.$$

Universality of the Fock

Theorem (G.)

The universal C^ -algebra of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ exists and is isomorphic to $\overline{\pi_{F,n}(\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q)} \subseteq C^*(S)^{\otimes n^2}$.*

Every other irreducible representation is a quotient of $C^(S)^{\otimes n^2}$.*

We denote the universal C^* -algebra of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ by $C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$

Let $Z = ((q)^{n-k} z_k^j)_{k,j}$. Vaksman proved $\|\pi_{F,n}^{(n)}(Z)\| \leq 1$.

Universality of the Fock

Theorem (G.)

The universal C^ -algebra of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ exists and is isomorphic to $\overline{\pi_{F,n}(\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q)} \subseteq C^*(S)^{\otimes n^2}$.*

Every other irreducible representation is a quotient of $C^(S)^{\otimes n^2}$.*

We denote the universal C^* -algebra of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ by $C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$

Let $\mathbf{Z} = ((q)^{n-k} z_k^j)_{k,j}$. Vaksman proved $\|\pi_{F,n}^{(n)}(\mathbf{Z})\| \leq 1$.

Shilov Boundary

The q -analogue of holomorphic polynomials is the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \subseteq \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ generated by the holomorphic generators $\{z_k^j\}$.

Consider the ideal J_n in $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ generated by the elements:

$$n = 1: J_n = \langle z_1 z_1^* - 1 \rangle$$

$$n > 1: J_n = \langle \sum_{j=1}^n q^{2n-\alpha-\beta} z_j^\alpha (z_j^\beta)^* - \delta^{\alpha,\beta}, \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, n \rangle$$

J_n is called the *algebraic Shilov boundary ideal*.

Shilov Boundary

The q -analogue of holomorphic polynomials is the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \subseteq \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ generated by the holomorphic generators $\{z_k^j\}$.

Consider the ideal J_n in $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ generated by the elements:

$$n = 1: J_n = \langle z_1 z_1^* - 1 \rangle$$

$$n > 1: J_n = \langle \sum_{j=1}^n q^{2n-\alpha-\beta} z_j^\alpha (z_j^\beta)^* - \delta^{\alpha,\beta}, \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, n \rangle$$

J_n is called the *algebraic Shilov boundary ideal*.

Shilov Boundary

The q -analogue of holomorphic polynomials is the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \subseteq \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ generated by the holomorphic generators $\{z_k^j\}$.

Consider the ideal J_n in $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ generated by the elements:

$$n = 1: J_n = \langle z_1 z_1^* - 1 \rangle$$

$$n > 1: J_n = \langle \sum_{j=1}^n q^{2n-\alpha-\beta} z_j^\alpha (z_j^\beta)^* - \delta^{\alpha,\beta}, \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, n \rangle$$

J_n is called the *algebraic Shilov boundary ideal*.

Shilov Boundary

The q -analogue of holomorphic polynomials is the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \subseteq \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ generated by the holomorphic generators $\{z_k^j\}$.

Consider the ideal J_n in $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ generated by the elements:

$$n = 1: J_n = \langle z_1 z_1^* - 1 \rangle$$

$$n > 1: J_n = \langle \sum_{j=1}^n q^{2n-\alpha-\beta} z_j^\alpha (z_j^\beta)^* - \delta^{\alpha,\beta}, \alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \dots, n \rangle$$

J_n is called the *algebraic Shilov boundary ideal*.

Algebraic Shilov Boundary Ideal

It was proven by Vaksman that

$$\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q / \mathcal{J} \cong \mathbb{C}[U_n]_q$$

i.e the name make sense.

Let $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$ be the closure of $\mathcal{A}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ and $\bar{J}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$ be the closure of J_n .

Is \bar{J}_n the Shilov boundary ideal for $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$ relative to $\mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$?

Algebraic Shilov Boundary Ideal

It was proven by Vaksman that

$$\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q / \mathcal{J} \cong \mathbb{C}[U_n]_q$$

i.e. the name make sense.

Let $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$ be the closure of $\mathcal{A}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ and $\bar{J}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$ be the closure of J_n .

Is \bar{J}_n the Shilov boundary ideal for $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$ relative to $\mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$?

Algebraic Shilov Boundary Ideal

It was proven by Vaksman that

$$\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q / \mathcal{J} \cong \mathbb{C}[U_n]_q$$

i.e. the name make sense.

Let $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$ be the closure of $\mathcal{A}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$ and $\bar{J}_n \subseteq \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$ be the closure of J_n .

Is \bar{J}_n the Shilov boundary ideal for $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$ relative to $\mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$?

Shilov Boundary

Theorem

\bar{J}_n is the Shilov boundary ideal of $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})_q$ relative to $C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$

We sketch the proof:

The idea is to first prove

$$\pi_{F,n}(a) = P_H \psi(a)|_H$$

$$\forall a \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)$$

where the $*$ -representation ψ annihilates \bar{J}_n .

This will show that is a boundary ideal.

Shilov Boundary

Theorem

\bar{J}_n is the Shilov boundary ideal of $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})_q$ relative to $C(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$

We sketch the proof:

The idea is to first prove

$$\pi_{F,n}(a) = P_H \psi(a)|_H$$

$$\forall a \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)$$

where the $*$ -representation ψ annihilates \bar{J}_n .

This will show that is a boundary ideal.

Sketch of proof

Theorem (Sz-Nagy)

Let $T \in B(H)$ with $\|T\| \leq 1$. Then there exists a Hilbert space K , $K \supset H$ and a unitary operator U on K such that

$$T^n = P_H U^n|_H \forall n \geq 0.$$

Consider the case when $n = 1$, the "quantum unit disc" case

We have $\|\pi_{F,1}(z_1^1)\| \leq 1$.

By (Sz-Nagy),

$$\pi_{F,1}(z_1^1)^k = P_H U^k|_H$$

and $z_1^1 \mapsto U$ annihilates \bar{J}_1 .

This proves the case $n = 1$.

The induction step

In general, we use induction on n .

Assume the result holds for $n - 1$.

- ▶ For $\varphi \in [-\pi, \pi)$, there are homomorphisms

$$\Pi_\varphi : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_{(n-1)})_q$$
- ▶ If π is a $*$ -representation of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_{n-1})_q$ annihilating J_{n-1} , then $\pi \circ \Pi_\varphi$ annihilates J_n .
- ▶ Recall the co-action

$$\mathcal{D} : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q.$$

- ▶ If π annihilates J_n and π_{W_1}, π_{W_2} are $*$ -representation of $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$, then the $*$ -representation

$$(\pi \otimes \pi_{W_1} \otimes \pi_{W_2}) \circ \mathcal{D}$$

annihilates J_n .



The induction step

In general, we use induction on n .

Assume the result holds for $n - 1$.

- ▶ For $\varphi \in [-\pi, \pi)$, there are homomorphisms $\Pi_\varphi : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_{(n-1)})_q$
- ▶ If π is a $*$ -representation of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_{(n-1)})_q$ annihilating J_{n-1} , then $\pi \circ \Pi_\varphi$ annihilates J_n .
- ▶ Recall the co-action

$$\mathcal{D} : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q.$$

- ▶ If π annihilates J_n and π_{W_1}, π_{W_2} are $*$ -representation of $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$, then the $*$ -representation

$$(\pi \otimes \pi_{W_1} \otimes \pi_{W_2}) \circ \mathcal{D}$$

annihilates J_n .



The induction step

In general, we use induction on n .

Assume the result holds for $n - 1$.

- ▶ For $\varphi \in [-\pi, \pi)$, there are homomorphisms

$$\Pi_\varphi : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_{(n-1)})_q$$
- ▶ If π is a $*$ -representation of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_{n-1})_q$ annihilating J_{n-1} , then $\pi \circ \Pi_\varphi$ annihilates J_n .
- ▶ Recall the co-action

$$\mathcal{D} : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q.$$

- ▶ If π annihilates J_n and π_{W_1}, π_{W_2} are $*$ -representation of $\mathbb{C}[SU_n]_q$, then the $*$ -representation

$$(\pi \otimes \pi_{W_1} \otimes \pi_{W_2}) \circ \mathcal{D}$$

annihilates J_n .



The induction step

In general, we use induction on n .

Assume the result holds for $n - 1$.

- ▶ For $\varphi \in [-\pi, \pi)$, there are homomorphisms

$$\Pi_\varphi : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_{(n-1)})_q$$
- ▶ If π is a $*$ -representation of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_{n-1})_q$ annihilating J_{n-1} , then $\pi \circ \Pi_\varphi$ annihilates J_n .
- ▶ Recall the co-action

$$\mathcal{D} : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{SU}_n]_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{SU}_n]_q.$$

- ▶ If π annihilates J_n and π_{W_1}, π_{W_2} are $*$ -representation of $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{SU}_n]_q$, then the $*$ -representation

$$(\pi \otimes \pi_{W_1} \otimes \pi_{W_2}) \circ \mathcal{D}$$

annihilates J_n .



The induction step

In general, we use induction on n .

Assume the result holds for $n - 1$.

- ▶ For $\varphi \in [-\pi, \pi)$, there are homomorphisms

$$\Pi_\varphi : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_{(n-1)})_q$$
- ▶ If π is a $*$ -representation of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_{n-1})_q$ annihilating J_{n-1} , then $\pi \circ \Pi_\varphi$ annihilates J_n .
- ▶ Recall the co-action

$$\mathcal{D} : \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \rightarrow \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[\text{SU}_n]_q \otimes \mathbb{C}[\text{SU}_n]_q.$$

- ▶ If π annihilates J_n and π_{w_1}, π_{w_2} are $*$ -representation of $\mathbb{C}[\text{SU}_n]_q$, then the $*$ -representation

$$(\pi \otimes \pi_{w_1} \otimes \pi_{w_2}) \circ \mathcal{D}$$

annihilates J_n .



The induction step

So

$$(\pi_{F,n-1} \circ \Pi_\varphi \otimes \pi_{w_1} \otimes \pi_{w_2}) \circ \mathcal{D}$$

is a $*$ -representation of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$.

We can choose $w_1, w_2 \in S_n$ s.t we can use (Sz-Nagy) to show $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}(\text{Mat}_n)$ then $\pi_{F,n}(a)$ is dilation of direct integral of representations

$$(\pi_{F,n-1} \circ \Pi_\varphi \otimes \pi_{w_1} \otimes \pi_{w_2}) \circ \mathcal{D}(a)$$

Now use induction and continuity.

So \bar{J}_n is a boundary ideal!

The induction step

So

$$(\pi_{F,n-1} \circ \Pi_\varphi \otimes \pi_{w_1} \otimes \pi_{w_2}) \circ \mathcal{D}$$

is a $*$ -representation of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$.

We can choose $w_1, w_2 \in S_n$ s.t we can use (Sz-Nagy) to show $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}(\text{Mat}_n)$ then $\pi_{F,n}(a)$ is dilation of direct integral of representations

$$(\pi_{F,n-1} \circ \Pi_\varphi \otimes \pi_{w_1} \otimes \pi_{w_2}) \circ \mathcal{D}(a)$$

Now use induction and continuity.

So \bar{J}_n is a boundary ideal!

The induction step

So

$$(\pi_{F,n-1} \circ \Pi_\varphi \otimes \pi_{w_1} \otimes \pi_{w_2}) \circ \mathcal{D}$$

is a $*$ -representation of $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$.

We can choose $w_1, w_2 \in S_n$ s.t we can use (Sz-Nagy) to show $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}(\text{Mat}_n)$ then $\pi_{F,n}(a)$ is dilation of direct integral of representations

$$(\pi_{F,n-1} \circ \Pi_\varphi \otimes \pi_{w_1} \otimes \pi_{w_2}) \circ \mathcal{D}(a)$$

Now use induction and continuity.

So \bar{J}_n is a boundary ideal!

Shilov Boundary

We prove now that \bar{J}_n is the Shilov boundary.

I is a boundary ideal for $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$ s.t $I \supset \bar{J}_n$.

Hence $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$

$$\|\pi_{F,n}(a) + I\| = \|\pi_{F,n}(a)\| = \|\pi_{F,n}(a) + \bar{J}_n\|.$$

We show that then

$$\|\pi_{F,n}(X) + I\| = \|\pi_{F,n}(X) + \bar{J}_n\|, \forall X \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q \implies I = \bar{J}_n.$$

Shilov Boundary

We prove now that \bar{J}_n is the Shilov boundary.

I is a boundary ideal for $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$ s.t $I \supset \bar{J}_n$.

Hence $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}(\text{Mat}_n)_q$

$$\|\pi_{F,n}(a) + I\| = \|\pi_{F,n}(a)\| = \|\pi_{F,n}(a) + \bar{J}_n\|.$$

We show that then

$$\|\pi_{F,n}(X) + I\| = \|\pi_{F,n}(X) + \bar{J}_n\|, \forall X \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q \implies I = \bar{J}_n.$$

Shilov Boundary

We prove now that \bar{J}_n is the Shilov boundary.

I is a boundary ideal for $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$ s.t $I \supset \bar{J}_n$.

Hence $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}((\text{Mat}_n)_q)$

$$\|\pi_{F,n}(a) + I\| = \|\pi_{F,n}(a)\| = \|\pi_{F,n}(a) + \bar{J}_n\|.$$

We show that then

$$\|\pi_{F,n}(X) + I\| = \|\pi_{F,n}(X) + \bar{J}_n\|, \forall X \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q \implies I = \bar{J}_n.$$

Shilov Boundary

We prove now that \bar{J}_n is the Shilov boundary.

I is a boundary ideal for $\mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q$ s.t $I \supset \bar{J}_n$.

Hence $\forall a \in \mathcal{A}((\text{Mat}_n)_q)$

$$\|\pi_{F,n}(a) + I\| = \|\pi_{F,n}(a)\| = \|\pi_{F,n}(a) + \bar{J}_n\|.$$

We show that then

$$\|\pi_{F,n}(x) + I\| = \|\pi_{F,n}(x) + \bar{J}_n\|, \forall x \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_n)_q \implies I = \bar{J}_n.$$

Shilov Boundary

As $\text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q/\mathcal{J}_n$, we have

$$(z_j^i)^* + \mathcal{J}_n = (-q)^{i+j-2n} (\det_q \mathbf{z})^{-1} \det_q \mathbf{z}_j^i + \mathcal{J}_n \Rightarrow$$

$\forall x \in \text{Pol}(\text{Mat}_n)_q, \exists k \in \mathbb{N}; (\det_q \mathbf{z})^k x + \mathcal{J}_n = a + \mathcal{J}_n, a \in \mathcal{A}(\text{Mat}_n)_q.$

Recall that $(\det_q \mathbf{z})^* \det_q \mathbf{z} + \mathcal{J}_n = q^{-(n(n-1))} I + \mathcal{J}_n$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_{F,n}(x) + I\| &= q^{\frac{k(n(n-1))}{2}} \|(\pi_{F,n}(\det_q \mathbf{z})^k x) + I\| = q^{\frac{k(n(n-1))}{2}} \|\pi_{F,n}(a) + I\| = \\ & q^{\frac{k(n(n-1))}{2}} \|\pi_{F,n}(a) + \bar{\mathcal{J}}_n\| = q^{\frac{k(n(n-1))}{2}} \|(\pi_{F,n}(\det_q \mathbf{z})^k x) + \bar{\mathcal{J}}_n\| = \\ & \|\pi_{F,n}(x) + \bar{\mathcal{J}}_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thank You!