## S-ZDES: ZONAL DETACHED EDDY SIMULATION COUPLED WITH STEADY RANS IN THE WALL REGION

Lars Davidson, www.tfd.chalmers.se/~lada

▲ロト ▲団ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三ヨー わらぐ

## DES — DETACHED-EDDY SIMULATIONS

- Problem:
  - the flow in the RANS region is highly unsteady (i.e. URANS)
  - this means that RANS turbulence models (developed for steady flow) are not accurate



## DES — DETACHED-EDDY SIMULATIONS

- Problem:
  - the flow in the RANS region is highly unsteady (i.e. URANS)
  - this means that RANS turbulence models (developed for steady flow) are not accurate
- Solution:
  - solve the steady equations in the RANS region



TWO SOLVERS IN THE ENTIRE DOMAIN



FIGURE: Grey color indicates the solver that drives the flow

www.tfd.chalmers.se/~lada

CHALMERS

Workshop, 29 August 2018 3 / 18

#### DRIFT TERMS ARE ADDED IN WHITE REGIONS



FIGURE: Subscript T indicates integration over time T

$$\langle \phi(t) \rangle_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_{-\infty}^t \phi(\tau) \exp(-(t-\tau)/T) d\tau \Rightarrow \langle \phi \rangle_T^t \equiv \langle \phi \rangle_T = a \langle \phi \rangle_T^{t-\Delta t} + (1-a) \phi^t a = \exp(-\Delta t/T).$$

**CHALMERS** 

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

### PREVIOUS WORK

- The present method is similar to those in [1, 2, 3]. The main differences are that
  - In [1, 3] they use one additional drift terms in the LES momentum equations to control resolved Reynolds stresses
  - ▶ They include drift terms also in the k and  $\varepsilon$  equations [1] or the k equation [3].
  - In [1, 3] they include five tuning constants in all drift terms. I have one (*T*).

- A TE N - A TE N

## **TURBULENCE MODELS**

Steady RANS solver



 EARSM (Explicit Algebraic Stress Model) [4] coupled to Wilcox k – ω model [5]



- DES  $k \omega$  model
- Lengthscale in dissipation term of the *k* eq.is taken from the IDDES model [6, 7]

FIGURE: RANS and DES turbulence models

## NUMERICAL METHOD: CALC-LES & CALC-BFC

#### CALC-LES [8]: DES solver

- Incompressible finite volume method
- Pressure-velocity coupling treated with fractional step
- Central differencing scheme for momentum eqns
- Hybrid 1<sup>st</sup> order upwind/2<sup>nd</sup> order central scheme  $k \& \omega$  eqns.
- 2<sup>nd</sup>-order Crank-Nicholson for time discretization
- CALC-BFC [9]: RANS solver, called every 10<sup>th</sup> timestep
  - Incompressible finite volume method
  - SIMPLEC
  - MUSCL: 2nd order bounded upwind scheme for momentum eqns
  - Hybrid 1<sup>st</sup> order upwind/2<sup>nd</sup> order central scheme  $k \& \omega$  eqns.

A B F A B F

## FIRST TEST CASE: CHANNEL FLOW

- Reynolds number is  $Re_{\tau} = 5200$ .
- A 32  $\times$  96  $\times$  32 mesh is used
- $x_{max} = 3.2$ ,  $z_{max} = 1.6$ , 15% stretching in y direction



#### CHANNEL FLOW: VELOCITY



FIGURE:  $T = 10\delta/U_b$  — : DES; – – : RANS; •: DNS. Vertical black lines show locations of  $\delta_{S-RANS}$ .

#### CHANNEL FLOW: TURBULENT VISCOSITY



#### **CHALMERS**

Workshop, 29 August 2018 10 / 18

## SECOND TEST CASE: HUMP FLOW



FIGURE: The domain of the hump.  $z_{max} = 0.2$ .

- The Reynolds number of the hump flow is  $Re_c = 936000$ .
- The mesh has  $386 \times 120 \times 32$  cells (x, y, z)
- Grid from NASA workshop.<sup>1</sup>
- Inlet is located at x = -2.1 and the outlet at x = 4.0,

www.tfd.chalmers.se/~lada

CHALMERS

HUMP FLOW:  $C_p \& C_f$ 



FIGURE:  $T = 20h/U_{in}$ . — : S-DES,  $j_0 = 33$ ; – – : S-DES,  $j_0 = 53$ ; – – : DES

CHALMERS

< 6 b

### HUMP FLOW: VELOCITIES



FIGURE: ---- : S-ZDES,  $j_0 = 33$ ; --- : S-ZDES,  $j_0 = 53$ ; ---- : DES;  $\circ$ : exp

www.tfd.chalmers.se/~lada

**CHALMERS** 

Workshop, 29 August 2018 13 / 18

(4) (3) (4) (4) (4)

4 A >

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

- A new steady RANS coupled to DES (S-ZDES) is proposed.
- Very good results
- Drawback: it is dependent on the lower limit of integration time, T for the hump flow
  - $T = 10h/U_{in}$  too small (*h* is hump height)
  - T = 20 and 50 give indentical results
  - For T = 100 we must more than double developing+sampling time to 345 + 345 (7.3 + 7.3 throughflow times)

#### **REFERENCES I**

#### [1] H. Xiao and P. Jenna.

A consistent dual-mesh framework for hybrid LES/RANS modeling. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 231:1848–1865, 2012.

[2] B. de Laage de Meux, B. Audebert, R. Manceau, and R. Perrin. Anisotropic linear forcing for synthetic turbulence generation in large eddy simulation and hybrid RANS/LES modeling. *Physics of Fluids A*, 27(035115), 2015.

[3] R. Tunstall, D. Laurence, R. Prosser, and A. Skillen. Towards a generalised dual-mesh hybrid les/rans framework with improved consistency.

Computers & Fluids, 157:73-83, 2017.

A (10) A (10)

## **REFERENCES II**

#### [4] S. Wallin and A. V. Johansson.

A new explicit algebraic Reynolds stress model for incompressible and compressible turbulent flows.

Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 403:89–132, 2000.

[5] D. C. Wilcox.

Reassessment of the scale-determining equation. *AIAA Journal*, 26(11):1299–1310, 1988.

[6] M. L. Shur, P. R. Spalart, M. Kh. Strelets, and A. K. Travin. A hybrid RANS-LES approach with delayed-DES and wall-modelled LES capabilities.

International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow, 29:1638–1649, 2008.

・ 伊 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

## **R**EFERENCES III

[7] Ch. Friess, R. Manceau, and T.B. Gatski. Interface methods for grey-area mitigation in turbulence-resolving hybrid RANS-LES (to appear). International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow, 2018.

#### [8] L. Davidson.

CALC-LES: A Fortran code for LES and hybrid LES-RANS. Technical report, Division of Fluid Dynamics, Dept. of Mechanics and Maritime Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, 2018.

#### [9] L. Davidson and B. Farhanieh.

CALC-BFC: A finite-volume code employing collocated variable arrangement and cartesian velocity components for computation of fluid flow and heat transfer in complex three-dimensional geometries.

# Rept. 95/11, Dept. of Thermo and Fluid Dynamics, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, 1995.

CHALMERS

Workshop, 29 August 2018 18 / 18

A (10) A (10) A (10) A

www.tfd.chalmers.se/~lada