

## EXERCISES 4

**The assignment problem and discrete optimal transport.** The classical *assignment problem* (also known as the *bivariate perfect matching problem* in graph theory) is the problem to, given an  $N$  times  $N$  matrix  $(c_{ij})$  minimize the functional

$$(0.1) \quad \sigma \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^N c_{i\sigma(i)}$$

In economical terms we have  $N$  workers and  $N$  jobs to conduct and  $c_{ij}$  is the cost of assigning work  $j$  to a worker  $i$ . The problem is to minimize the total cost, if all the every workers are assigned different jobs, i.e. worker  $i$  is assigned the job  $j$  where  $j = \sigma(i)$  for some permutation  $\sigma \in S_N$ .

The goal of this “guided exercise” is to show that this problem can be formulated in terms of optimal transport. Indeed, consider the general setting of a cost function  $c(x, y)$  defined on  $X \times Y$ . Set  $\mu := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i}$  for a given configuration  $(x_1, \dots, x_N)$  of (say different)  $N$  points on  $X$  and similarly  $\nu := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{y_i}$ . Now, any given permutation  $\sigma \in S_N$  determines a transport plan  $\gamma_\sigma$  from  $\mu$  to  $\nu$  defined by

$$\gamma_\sigma := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i} \otimes \delta_{y_{\sigma(i)}}$$

[check this!] whose cost is given by

$$C\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i} \otimes \delta_{y_{\sigma(i)}}\right) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N c(x_i, y_{\sigma(i)})$$

[check this!]. The non-trivial fact in this story is that the infimum over the cost of all transport plans between the discrete measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is equal to the infimum over all *discrete* transport plans, i.e. those of the form  $\gamma_\sigma$ , i.e.

$$(0.2) \quad \inf_{\gamma} C(\gamma) = \inf_{\sigma \in S_N} C(\gamma_\sigma).$$

(the point is that we do not have to assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are discrete!). To see how this comes about first show that any transport plan  $\gamma$  between  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is supported on the discrete set obtained as the product of the support  $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  of  $\mu$  and the support  $\{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$  of  $\nu$ , i.e.

$$\gamma := \sum_{i=1}^N A_{ij} \delta_{x_i} \otimes \delta_{y_j}$$

for some matrix  $(A_{ij})$ . Next, show that the transport condition corresponds to the matrix  $A$  being a doubly stochastic matrix, i.e.

$$\sum_i A_{ij} = \sum_j A_{ij} = 1$$

Denoting by  $B_N$  the convex set of all such matrices the optimal transport problem may thus be recasted as an optimization problem on  $B_N$ . Now, using Choquet's theorem, deduce that the optimum is realized at an extremal point of the set  $B_N$ . Finally, invoking the Birkhoff-von Neumann theorem saying that the extremal points of  $B_N$  are permutation matrices, conclude that 0.2 indeed holds (compare the warm-up exercises 3-5 on p. 14-15 in Villani's book).

**The Legendre transform formalism for non-quadratic costs.** For a given cost functional  $c(x, y)$ , say defined on all of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , one can define a generalization of the Legendre transform by

$$\phi^{*,c}(y) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (-c(x, y) - \phi(x)),$$

so that the ordinary Legendre transform is obtained in the standard quadratic case,  $c(x, y) = -\langle x, y \rangle$  [be aware that there are many different sign conventions in the litterature!]. A lsc function  $\phi(x)$  is said to be *c-convex* if  $P_c(\phi) = \phi$  where the operator  $P_c$  is the "double Legendre transform":

$$P_c \phi := (\phi^{*,c})^{*,c}$$

The goal of this rather open exercise is to go through the notes in the course concerning the quadratic case and verify that they generalize to the non-quadratic case using this generalized form of the Legendre transform [you don't have to worry too much about regularity issues etc].

As an application of this formalism check that Kantorovich duality in the case when  $c(x, y) = |x - y|$  (i.e. the  $L^1$ -distance) gives the following classical formula for the Wasserstein 1-distance  $W_1$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$W_1(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)} \int \int |x - y| d\gamma(x, y) = \sup_{f \in Lip_1} \int f(\mu - \nu),$$

where the first equality is a definition and  $Lip_1$  denotes the space of all functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which are Lipschitz continuous with constant 1, i.e.

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq |x - y|$$